Net4Society

Impact section reviewing checklist

	Impact section criteria	Yes	No	Convincing Why?	Not-convincing Why?
Credibility of pathways	1. Does the proposal present the impact (i.e. "<u>change</u>") the project seeks to achieve? a. Is it written in the form of a narrative? b. Does the project narrative correspond with the objectives listed in chapter 1.1?				
	2. Can you identify <u>clear, logical steps/set of actions</u> in the project leading to the desired change listed (e.g. from project results to expected outcome to expected impact)? c. Are the steps clearly articulated? Are scientific, social, and economic/technological impacts systematically presented in the proposal?				
	3. Can you <u>connect</u> the proposed steps to what is asked for in the work programme (i.e. the expected impacts mentioned in the Destination introduction)?				

Contributions to expected outcomes	1. Has the applicant clearly explained how the planned project <u>outputs</u> will help generate the expected outcomes listed in the work programme?
	  a. Do you see, e.g., things like: recommendations, publications, policy briefs, workshops, conferences, or stakeholder meetings mentioned?
	2. Has the applicant clearly stated who makes up their identified <u>target groups</u> (stakeholders)?
	  b. Have target groups been broken down into more specific (project-based) interest groups? (e.g. civil society actors, families, youth, academics, policymakers, artists, business sector participants)
	  c. Does the proposal mention <u>stakeholders at different levels</u> (e.g. local/regional/national/international)?
	  d. Has the proposal said how different stakeholders, i.e. target groups, will be addressed and which outputs shared with them? (e.g. policy briefs with policymakers)
	  e. Is there a <u>breakdown</u> of how outputs will be shared with target groups over the following timelines?
	    i. <u>short-term</u> (during the project runtime)
	    ii. <u>medium-term</u> (ca. 3 years after the project)
	    iii. <u>long-term</u> (longer than 5 years after project end)?			

| Contributions to wider impacts (scientific, societal, economic/technological) | 1. Has the applicant <u>clearly stated</u> which <u>types of overarching impacts</u> (scientific, societal, economic/technical) the project is contributing to?
   a. Has this been done <u>systematically</u>?
   b. Has the applicant used a chart or other type of formatting to communicate the type of impact and the nature of the contribution?
2. What sort of <u>scientific impact</u> will be generated, if any (e.g. new method of investigation developed; new definition/way of interpreting events/actions/etc. developed)?
   a. Do you find clear ideas and/or examples of what this/these contributions will be?
   b. Can you tell that this is a contribution?
3. What sort of societal impacts will be generated, if any?
   a. What sought-after change is the project proposing (e.g. changes to certain types of behaviors, like more community engagement; changes to ways of thinking)?
   b. Do you find clear ideas and/or examples of what this/these contributions will be?
   c. Can you tell that this is a contribution?
4. What sort of <u>economic/technological impact</u> will be generated, if any?
   a. Do you find clear ideas and/or examples of what this/these contributions will be (e.g. establishment of a new social economy organization, e.g. a new cooperative or mutual association; social innovation, e.g. better use of patents by | | | | |

	Impact section criteria	Yes	No	Convincing Why?	Not-convincing Why?
	a certain set of business users)? Can you tell that this is a contribution? b. Often, Cluster 2 projects will not have a direct economic/technological impact, but a different type, like a policy impact. c. Does the project mention other types of impacts that will be generated in the long-term? 5. Does the project have any negative environmental impacts? a. If yes, how does the proposal discuss this issue and how the negative impacts can be minimized?				
Significance of project Contributions' to expected outcomes & impacts (incl. KPIs)	(Scale) 1. Based on the proposal, do you have a clear idea of <u>how many</u> or <u>to what extent</u> the identified target groups (stakeholders) will be reached? a. Does the proposal include <u>a list or table of KPIs</u> (key performance indicators)? b. Are the KPIs <u>quantified</u>? c. **For Cluster 2 specifically**—if the subject matter is hard to quantify, have <u>benchmarks</u> been suggested as an alternative (e.g. uptake of policy recommendations amongst policymakers; uptake of guidelines amongst NGOs)?				

Impact section criteria		**Yes**	**No**	**Convincing Why?**	**Not-convincing Why?**
Scale & Significance of project Contributions' to expected outcomes & impacts (incl. KPIs)	d. Does the proposal include qualitative KPIs? i. Are the categories and/or a source/definition upon which the qualitative KPIs used by the project, mentioned in the proposal (e.g. qualitative KPIs are based on a certain set of OECD benchmarks)?Is the same definition being used for all target groups/geographical areas addressed by project?				
	(Significance) (“how much project research findings matter”) 1. Does the proposal explain what, by working with the identified stakeholders, the planned research will achieve (e.g. planned community meetings for raising awareness of a certain issue)? 2. Does the proposal say how long (i.e. how many months or years) it will take to see the various types of impact (i.e. change) the project seeks to generate?				
Barriers	1. Have potential barriers (i.e. external hurdles/situations/problems) to the research been identified (e.g. national laws prohibiting gatherings of more than 15 people)? 2. Does the proposal say how these barriers will be overcome?				

	Impact section criteria	Yes	No	Convincing Why?	Not-convincing Why?
Measures to maximise impact – Dissemination, Exploitation, Communication	1. Does the proposal present <u>a plan</u> (i.e. set of clear activities) for how the project and its activities will be **communicated**? a. Has a list of communication tools and channels be included (e.g. project website, newsletter, social media)? b. Can you tell which tool will be used to reach which target group? Are they adequate? c. Is it clear what the main messages are that should be conveyed? d. Does the proposal list communication KPIs?				
	2. Does the project present a plan (i.e. set of ideas) for how research results will be **disseminated** (e.g. use of conferences to promote publications and results; policy dialogues with decision makers)? a. Has a list of specific activities been included in the proposal? b. Can you tell which tool will be used to reach which target group? c. Does the proposal list dissemination KPIs?				
	3. Does the project present a clear plan for **exploitation** of project results? a. Can you tell <u>how</u> exploitation activities are related to planned project tasks and activities? b. Does the proposal state who will be the target of exploitation activities? c. Does the proposal state possible follow-up activities after the end of the project? d. Does the plan include exploitation KPIs?				

	Impact section criteria	Yes	No	Convincing Why?	Not-convincing Why?

Measures to maximise impact – Dissemination, Exploitation, Communication	4. Has summary table 2.3 been filled out and included in the proposal? (note: table is not mandatory, but if present refer to this question)
	   a. Is the information in 2.3 coherent with the information in chapters 2.1 and 2.2?
	5. Does the proposal explain how IPR will be managed?
	   b. Does the proposal identify types of IPR relevant for the project (e.g. copyrights, use of CC BY licenses)?				